DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 244 488

INSTITUTION SPONS AGENCY EC 162 790

TITLE

Evaluation of Instructional Television Utilization among Special Education Teachers. [Final Report]. Research Communications, Inc., Chestnut Hill, MA. Special Education Programs (ED/OSERS), Washington,

DC.

PUB DATE GRANT Sep 82 6008100425

NOTE 57p.

PUB TYPE'

Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF01/PC03 Plus Postage:
*Disabilities; *Educational Television; Elementary
Secondary Education; Equipment; Instructional
Materials; Microcomputers; *Special Education
Teachers; Surveys; *Teacher Attitudes; Technology;
Use Studies

ABSTRACT

Findings of a survey on use of instructional television (ITV) sent to approximately 1,000 special education · teachers are reported. An initial chapter describes the survey procedure and highlights background information about the sample. Findings are reported in the second chapter about the availability of ITV equipment, formats, and viewing settings among special education teachers. A third chapter on ITV use notes that special education teachers use ITV less frequently than regular class teachers, and that only 21% use it on a regular basis. Further, special educators found the broadcast schedules less convenient than teachers in the general population. A fourth chapter analyzes survey responses concerning the availability of support ITV services. Concerns voiced included lack of training about ITV and unavailability of teachers' guides and corresponding print material. The final chapter focuses on the availability and use of microcomputers, noting tht special education teachers used microcomputers much less frequently than they use ITV. (CL)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

EVALUATION OF

INSTRUCTIONAL TELEVISION UTILIZATION

AMONG SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS

I Final Report]

by

RESEARCH COMMUNICATIONS, INC. 384 Newton Street Chestnut Hill, MA 02167

September 1982

The contents of this report were developed under grant #G008100425 from the Office of Special Education, Bureau of the Handicapped, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of that agency, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRO	DDUCTIO	${\sf N}\ldots\ldots$
PART		Evaluation Design
PART	·II:	Availability of ITV Among Special Education Teachers
PART	iii:	Use of Instructional Television
ŖĀŔŤ	İŸ: -	Availability of Support ITV Services
PART	Ÿ:	Utilization of Microcomputers27
SUMMA	RY AND	CONCLUSIONS30
APPEN	XIDIX	Teacher Survey Questionnaire

			, .	. Oppos	site
		_	Schedule of Evaluation Activities	Päg	jē .
	Table	1.	Schedule of Evaluation Activities		7 '
	<u>T</u> āb]e	2.	Distribution of Sample According to Grade Levels		9
	Iable	3.	Types of Students Taught	10	ַ כ
	Iable	4.	Number of Students Taught	10	כ כ
	<pre>Iable</pre>	5.	Structure_of Special Education Classes	10)
	Iable	6.	Subjects Taught	1 1	l
	Iable	7.	Number of Years Teaching Experience	11	L
	[ab]e	8.	Availability of ITV Formats		
	Iable	~ -	Availability of Video Equipment	15	رَ وَ
	Iable	10.	Availability of TV Sets	15	5
	[ab]e	11.	Viewing Setting	15	5
	Iable		Frequency of Use of ITV	$\dots 17$!
	Table	13.	Erequency of Teachers' Reasons for Not Using ITV		
	Table		Frequency of Use of ITV Series	18	}
	Table	15.	Convenience of Broadcast Schedules	20)
	Table	16.	Perceptions of Value of ITV as a Teaching Tool	20)
	Table	17.	Most Suitable Format	21	ŀ.
	Table	18.	Frequency of Use by Subject Area	22	<u> </u>
	Table	19.	Perceptions of Need for ITV Programming by		
			Subject Area	22	2
	Table	20.	Source of ITV Training	26	ī
	Table	21.	Perceptions of Adequacy of ITV Training	26	,
	Table	22.	Availability of Teachers' Guides and		
	-	.	Print Material	26	<u>;</u>
	Table	23.	Availability of Microcomputers	28	}
	Tāblē	24.	Frequency of Use of Microcomputers	28	3
	Tāble	25.	Perceptions of Microcomputer as a		_
			Perceptions of Microcomputer as a Teaching Tool	29	}
-	Tāble	26.	Perceptions of Weed for Training in Use of	:	
			Microcomputers	29)

U

4

ERIC

INTRODUCTION

The utilization of television in the classroom has received considerable attention from both broadcasters and instructional television production Two nationwide ITV*utilization studies (Dirr & Pedone, agencies. Crane, 1979) have been supported by the Corporation for Public Broadcasting, (CPB). The first study was conducted by the Office of Educational Activities at CPB in cooperation with the National Center for Education Statistics. This study provided an important data base upon which subsequent studies could be built. The sample in this large national study represented approximately 12,000 school districts; 90,000 school buildings; 2,275,000 classrooms; and 46,000,000 students (Dirr & Pedone, 1979: p. 2). utilization study examined attitudes toward ITV, availability of ITV, and frequency of use as reported by teachers, principals, and superintendents. While subsequent analyses of the same data (Pedone & Korb, 1980) have been performed, the use of ITV among Special Education teachers has not been examined.

The School Television Utilization Study has reported extensive use (59% of all teachers) of ITV in the classroom. Some other important findings of that study (Dirr & Pedone, 1979) were that:

- Approximately one-third of all teachers used instructional television on a regular basis during the year surveyed;
- Most teachers, who use ITV, integrate it into their regular classroom curriculum; and
- More than half of the teachers have some kind of videotape equipment available to them for their use.

ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

ITV- instructional television

addition to findings, the also determined that these study \$73-100,000,000 was spent by local school districts on instructional tclevision. Also, teachers cited the major strengths of ITV to accessing of new resources and people to their classes; providing different approaches to presenting material; presenting new material; and reinforcing material already taught.

These findings have important implications for the education of the handicapped. There is no question that the unique capabilities of television are particularly appropriate for special needs children. Among these are:

- Children with print-related disabilities can acquire information otherwise inaccessible to them, through televised presentations;
- Hearing-impaired children can benefit from information accessing through television and can learn to read through the use of captioning; and
- Children with emotional disturbances can benefit from the many affective programs available. (There are four affective series available from the Agency for Instructional Television for different age groups.)

There is also specialized programming such as "Feeling Free" which deals specifically with handicapped children. This series was designed to enhance the self-concept of handicapped children and to sensitize their peers to their special needs. No classroom utilization data are available on this series.



In another study supported by CPB's Office of Engineering Research, the Agency for Instructional Television (the largest producers of instructional programming for children) contracted with Dr. Valerie Crane to conduct an examination of small-format videotape use schools. The purpose of in this study was to examine the potential for multiple ITV delivery systems to classrooms; to gather in-depth information on small-format videotape availability and use with a national sample of teachers and potential clients; and to determine the potential for distribution of programming in VideoKit form (a package of videotapes and ancillary materials which would be made available directly to classrooms). This research study (Crane, 1979) revealed that the development of alternative formats holds great promise for increasing utilization of ITV in the schools. However, no estimates were obtained on the level of use or potential for use among Special Education teachers.

Because these utilization studies have revealed that television is an educational resource available to most classroom teachers, it is important to determine whether this resource is equally available and useful to Special Education teachers. In a time when budget cuts will be limiting purchase of materials for these classes, existing broadcast of instructional programming in schools should be examined.

In the fall of 1981, the Department of Education awarded a grant to Research Communications, Inc. to investigate the utilization of ITV among Special Education teachers in grades K-12. A national survey of approximately 1,000

Special Education teachers was conducted by RCI from September of 1981 to August 1982.

Based upon the existing utilization studies and the experience of the research staff for this project, the following objectives were identified for the current survey:

- 1. to determine the availability of ITV programming and equipment among Special Education teachers;
- 2. to determine the type and frequency of use of ITV programs and factors influencing use among Special Education teachers;
- 3. to examine the availability of support ITV services; and
- 4. to examine the availability and use of microcomputers as a point of comparison to ITV availability and use.

The findings of the ITV survey are presented here. In the first section of this report, the evaluation design for the survey is described. In the second section, the findings on the availability of ITV are presented, and, in the third section, the utilization of ITV is discussed. In Part IV, data on the availability of support services are presented and a final section is included on the availability and use of microcomputers. In this report, data are presented in tabular form on the left hand side of the page opposite the accompanying text. This format is designed to enable the reader to compare tabular data to the text. Comparisons against the Dirr and Pedone (1977) and Crane (1979) studies have also been made.

References.

Cranc, V. Evaluation of Small-Format Videotape as a Source for Instructional Television Programming. Agency for Instructional Television: Bloomington, IN. 1979.

Dirr, P.J. & Pedonc, R.J. Uses of Television for Instruction 1976-1977. Corporation for Public Broadcasting and National Center for Education Statistics: Washington D.C. 1979.

Korb, R. & Pedone, R. Influences of the Use of Instructional Television in the Classroom. Presentation to the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, April, 1980.

PART I. EVALUATION, DESIGN

Table 1. Schedule of Evaluation Activities

September

Contacted Special Education Experts for survey review.

October - November

Developed first draft of survey.

Mailed first draft of survey to experts.

Revised survey.

Contacted Market Data Retrieval for purchase of mailing labels.

November - December

Mailed second draft of survey to experts. Revised survey. Pilot tested survey with teachers. Revised and printed survey.

January = February

Conducted first mailing of survey.

March

Conducted second mailing of survey to nonrespondents.

February - July

Processed data on microcomputers.

Μāÿ

Conducted telephone survey.

July

Analyzed data.

August

Prepared final report.

The survey of ITV use among Special Education teachers began in September of 1981. A schedule for the evaluation activities which occurred over the year is presented on the opposite page. During the first quarter of the year, the survey was developed, reviewed by experts, revised, and pilot tested with Special Education teachers. During this time, two sets of 3,000 address labels with Special Education teachers names were ordered from Market Data Retrieval.

During the next four months, all Special Education teachers in the sample received a copy of the questionnaire. A second mailing was conducted six weeks after the first to all Special Education teachers who did not respond to the first mailing.

Surveys were processed on Apple II Plus computers as they were received at Research Communications throughout the winter and spring months. In the late spring, a subsample of teachers who did not respond to either mailing were contacted by phone. They were asked to return the survey or to respond to an abbreviated version of the survey by telephone. The final months of the study were devoted to data processing, analysis and report preparation.



• .

A list of 3,000 randomly selected Special Education teachers was purchased from Market Data Retrieval. A total of 1203 surveys were returned to Research Communications. Of these, 674 responded to the first mailing and 529 responded to the second mailing. The return rate for the survey was 40%. However, 18% of the respondents indicated that they were not Special Education teachers. It is reasonable to assume that an even higher percentage of nonrespondents did not teach Special Education. In fact, the rate of return from Special Education teachers might have been higher than that reported here.

The telephone survey was conducted on a subsample of Special Education teachers who had not responded to the first or second mailing. The telephone survey was delayed until late in the school year because of delayed payments from the Department of Education to Research Communications. Therefore, every fourth teacher on the mailing list (N=372) was selected to participate in the telephone survey. A total of 244 calls were made from May to the middle of June. One-third of the schools were not in session and only 12 Special Education teachers were available to be interviewed. The interviewer left messages for the remaining teachers to return their surveys. Due to the limited response to this survey, the data are not included in this report. It would appear that telephone surveys are not cost-efficient because of the unavailability of teachers during the school day.



Table 2: Distribution of Sample According to Grade Levels.

deachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=973)	Percentage of Teachers
Prekindergarten- Grade 2 Grades 3-5 Grades 6-8 Grades 9-12	483* 539 445; 281	50% 55 46 29

^{*}Throughout the tables of this report a '*' indicates that teachers may have selected more than one response.

On the survey, teachers were asked to indicate which grade levels they taught (see Table 2). On the whole, a majority of Special Education teachers responding to this survey were elementary school teachers. According to this table, there are a significant number of Special Education teachers who teach multiple grade levels. (As many as 40% of the teachers are represented in more than one grade level category.) Therefore, grade level analyses were not performed on the data.

The total number of teachers responding to each item is reported in each table. There is a high nonresponse level on some items, especially toward the end of the section on utilization of ITV. It is most likely that teachers who did not use ITV skipped over a number of these items.



Table 3. Types of Students Taught by Special Education Teachers

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=989)	' Percentage of Teachers
Learning Disabled Physically Disabled Sight Impaired Hearing Impaired Other	729* 131 _66 + 127 462	74% 13 . 7 13 . 47

Table 4. Number of Students Taught

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=983)	Percentage of Teachers
1-10	217	22%
11-20	432	44
21-50	259	26
50+	75	8

.Table 5. Structure of Special Education Classes

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=989)	Percen	tage of To	eachērs
Class of Special Ed: Students Only Mainstreamed	649* 50		66% 5	
Individual or Small Groups Other Situations	377 66	· <u>:</u>	38 7	,



Background information on teachers concerning the types and numbers of students taught, structure of Special Education classes, subjects taught, and number of years teaching experience was obtained. Tables 3-7 present this information.

Teachers were asked if they taught learning disabled, physically disabled, sight, or hearing impaired or "other" types of Special Education students. Almost three-fourths of the teachers taught learning disabled students. Almost one-half of the teachers checked the "other" category on this item. Most frequently, teachers indicated that students in this category were emotionally disturbed or had behavioral disorders. Sight, hearing and other physical handicaps were much less common.

When teachers were asked to report the total number of Special Education students they taught in a week, very few (8%) reported that they taught more than 50. Approximately two-thirds of the teachers had classes ranging in size from one to twenty students.

Teachers also provided information about how their classes were structured. A majority (66%) reported that they taught in a classroom comprised of Special Education students only, while 38% reported that they taught individual or small group sessions outside the students' regular classes. Only 5% of the Special Education teachers taught in mainstreamed classes. "Other" teaching situations included resource rooms and the library.

Table 6. Subjects Taught by Special Education Teachers

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=986)	Percentage of Teachers	- -}
All Language Arts Math Occupational Vocational Science Art/Music Social Studies Physical Education Other	274* 586 563 89 113 249 81 309 46 221	28% 59.— 57 9 11 25 8 31 5	

Table 7: Number of Years Teaching Experience

Teachers Responses	Number of Teachers (N=987)	Percentage of Teachers
Less than 1 Year 1-3 Years 4-10 Years 10 or More Years	_31 _173 	3% 18 53 26

Subjects most frequently taught by Special Education teachers included:

- Language Arts (59%);
- Math (57%);
- Social Studies (31%); and
- Science (25%).

Art/music, occupational and physical education were infrequently taught by Special Education teachers.

When asked how long they had been teaching Special Education, approximately half of the sample reported teaching from four to ten years. Another 26% taught for 10 or more years while fewer (21%) taught less than four years. This suggests that our sample consisted of teachers with a substantial amount of Special Education experience.

Instruments

A 29-item survey was developed with assistance from 20. Special Education experts in Massachusetts, two research consultants to the study, and 30 Special Education teachers in three sites. There were seven items on background information described in the previous section of this report. In addition, five questions were included on the availability of instructional television (ITV); nine questions on the use of ITV; three questions on the availability of support ITV services; and five questions on the use of price of the Appendix for a copy of the questionnaire.

Data Analysis

All survey data were processed and analyzed on an Apple II Plus Computer at Research Communications, Inc. A special RCI software package was used to generate frequencies and percentages for this report. Total responses were obtained for each item on the survey. Open-ended questions were tabulated and recorded separately by evaluation staff.

PART II. AVAILABILITY OF ITV AMONG SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS

This section of the report focuses on findings concerning the availability of ITV formats, availability of video equipment, availability of TV sets, and viewing setting for ITV.

Table 8. Availability of ITV Formats

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=991)	Percentage of Teachers
Broadcast Videotape Videocassette Videodisc	458* 142 164 12	46% 14 17 1
Not Sure None	103 342 242	10 35 24

When teachers were asked whether ITV programs were available to them in each of a variety of formats (see Table 8) about half of the teachers (46%) reported that ITV was available to them through direct broadcast. However, about one-fourth (24%) of Special Education teachers and not have ITV available to them in any format, and about one-third of teachers (35%) did not know what formats were available to them. This indicates a need to inform teachers about resources that could be available to them. When these data are compared to the CPB Utilization Study (Dirr & Pedone, 1977), the responses correspond quite closely. In that study, 28% reported that ITV programming was not available, as compared with 24% of the Special Education teachers. However, it is possible that ITV is not available to a portion of Special Education teachers who reported that they were not sure (35%).

Videotape and videocassette formats were reported to be available far less frequently to Special Education teachers (14% and 17% respectively) than to teachers in the general population who were surveyed in a study devoted specifically to small format videotape use (Crane, 1979). In that study, 54% of the teachers used videotape reel to reel and another 39% used videocassette.

When asked if video equipment was available to them when they needed it, 75% of the Special Education teachers reported that they had access to video

Table 9. Availability of Video Equipment

Teachers Responses	Number of Teachers (N=915)	Percentage of	Teachers
Always Usually Sometimes Rarely Never	- 215 322 154 73 151	23% 35 17 8	

Table 10. Availability of TV Sets

Teachers'	Responses	Number of Teachers (N=947)	Pērc ē ntāge	of Teachers	
Black and Color None Not Sure	White	464* 420 169 57	 4 1	7	

Table 11. Viewing Setting for ITV

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=370)	Percentage of Teachers
Equipment In My Room Move Equipment	117	32%
To My Room Separate Room Other	176 59 18	48 16 5

equipment at least some of the time (see Table 9). Almost one-fifth reported that video equipment was never available when needed.

In the study of small-format videotape (Crane, 1979), video equipment was available to 89% of teachers at least some of the time, while 11% rarely or never had video equipment available to them. Once again, availability was higher among regular classroom teachers than among Special Education teachers.

In terms of the types of equipment available (see Table 10), approximately three-fourths (77%) of the Special Education teachers had access to either black and white (49%) or color (44%)* televisions when needed. When these findings are compared with those reported in the Dirr & Pedone (1977) and Crane (1979) studies, Special Education teachers are much less likely than regular classroom teachers (77% vs 92%) to have television sets available to them.

Special Education teachers were also asked how they usually view ITV (see Table 11). Of those who view television (37% of the total sample), Special Education teachers most frequently moved equipment into their rooms (48%). Almost a third used equipment already in their room. These findings roughly parallel percentages reported in the CPB study.

Some teachers had both black & white and color television sets available to them. Hence these percentages are greater than the precentage of total Special Education teachers who have television sets available.



PART III: USE OF INSTRUCTIONAL TELEVISION.

This section of the report focuses on findings concerning the utilization of ITV. On the following pages the frequency of use of ITV and ITV series, as well as factors which influence ITV utilization, are discussed.



Table 12. Frequency Of Use of ITV

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=947)	Percentage of Teachers
Daily_	67	7%
Once A Week	71	7
Few Times A_Month	87	9
Once Every Few Months	117	12
Never	605	64

Table 13. Frequency of Teachers' Reasons for Not Using ITV

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=615)	Percentage of Teache	ers
No Equipment Available		49%	
Programs and Series Not Worthwhile	32	5	•
Not Sure How To: Use Equipment	46	. 7	,
Equipment Poor Quality	$\bar{21}$	3	
Too Much Trouble Broadcast Schedule	46	7	
Inconvenient Programs Not	94	15	•
_Aÿāilāblē	65	10	
Other	185	30	

When Special Education teachers were asked how frequently they use ITV in the classroom, only 36% reported that they used ITV at least once every few months (see Table 12). The CPB study (Dirr & Pedone, 1979) reported that 42% of all teachers used ITV during the school year. It would appear that Special Education teachers use ITV less frequently than regular classroom teachers.

Teachers who did not use ITV were given the opportunity to indicate their reasons for not using ITV (see Table 13). The most frequent reason for not using ITV was lack of equipment. Almost half (49%) of Special Education teachers who do not use ITV reported that there was no equipment available to them.

A total of 185 (30%) teachers who do not use ITV wrote in "Other" responses which were categorized and tabulated. About one quarter (23%) of these respondents said that they did not use ITV because they were unfamiliar with ITV generally, while another quarter (23%) reported that ITV programs were not applicable to their curricula. Reasons offered slightly less frequently were that teachers guides were not available (19%), ITV programs were inappropriate to class structure (18%), and teachers' time with students was too limited (16%). These teachers expressed a need for shorter programs (15 to 20 minutes) because their Special Education classes meet for only 30 minutēs at Representative responses are given here.



Table 14: Frequency of Use of ITV Series

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=209)	Percentage of Teachers	
1	76,	36%	
2	68	33	
3	39	19	
4	14	7	
5+	12	6	

- "Programs I want are not available when I have the pupils -- other classrooms use it."
- "Because of the nature of my class and the various levels students are on in terms of individual assignments, it becomes more disruptive than helpful."
- "Feel that individualized instruction is more valuable during the short period they are in the room."
- "Programs do not coincide with the level or pace at which students work."
- "Not sure of its effectiveness, I feel one-to-one contact is probably more effective."
- "Not sure of what ITV is and what it makes available."
- "Students cannot understand ITV--secondly not enough time."
- "Don't feel it's justified spending time when students need training in basic skills."
- "Never seen' schedule or information on it."

In addition to determining frequency of, ITV use, teachers were asked to indicate how many different instructional television series they used regularly (see Table 14). For the purposes of this survey, regular use was defined as approximately half of all lessons in a series.

Of all Special Education teachers surveyed, only 21% reported that they use ITV series on a regular basis. Approximately one-third of these teachers use one series, another third use two series and the remaining third use three, four, or five or more series.

Teachers who use ITV series were also asked to list two or three of the most effective school TV series they use. A total of 278 Special Education



teachers responded to this question. A list of the eleven most frequently reported series follows:

- Electric Company (28%)
- Sesame Street (11%)
- Inside Out (6%)
- Letter People (4%)
- All About You (4%)
- Bread and Butterflies (4%)
- Readalong (4%)
- Gather Around (3%)
- Cover to Cover (3%)
- 3-2-1-Contact (3%)
- Nova (3%).

Pedone, 1977) in the top twenty-five most used ITV programs. However, the numbers represented here are very small.



Table 15. Convenience of Broadcast Schedules

Teachers' Responses	Number of T (N=619	Percentage	of Teachers	
Always Usually Sometimes Rarely Never Don't Use Series	27 114 86 67 38		4% 18 14 11 6	
During Broadcast Time	287		46	•

Table 16. Perceptions of Value of ITV as a Teaching Tool

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=844)	Percent	age of Teacher	^\$
Definitely Yes Yes Not Sure No Definitely No	186 344 299 14 1		22% 41 35 2	

Special Education teachers were asked how frequently broadcast schedules were convenient for them (see Table 15). Only 22% checked "always" or "usually" while an additional 14% indicated that broadcast schedules were sometimes" convenient for them. Almost half of teachers do not use ITV programs during broadcast times. More than a third (28%) of the total sample did not answer this question.

A comparison with the small-format videotape survey (Crane, 1979) suggests that broadcast schedules are less frequently convenient for Special Education teachers than for teachers in the general population. According to that survey, 62% of teachers found broadcast times convenient at least some of the time while only 36% of the Special Education teachers reported this to be true.

Furthermore, almost half of Special Education teachers do not use ITV during broadcast times. However, some Special Education teachers may record programs for later use. In the small-format videotape survey (Crane, 1979), 22% of all teachers reported that they recorded programs for future use at least some of the time.

Special Education teachers' general attitudes toward ITV were also investigated. When asked whether they thought that ITV was a valuable teaching stool (see Table 16), 63% of the Special Education teachers

Table 17: Most Suitable Format

Teachers' Responses		Number of Tea (N=716)	chers	Percentage of Teacher	rs :======
Broadcast Film Videotape		193 131 49	 [;	27% 18 7	,
Videocassēttē Videodisc Not Surē	•	134 2 207	Ž	19 12 29	**************************************

responded "yes" or "definitely yes". However, more than one-third of teachers (35%) (compared with 16% of regular teachers as reported in the small-format videotape study (Crane, 1979)) were not sure of the value of ITV as a teaching tool. This again points to a need to inform Special Education teachers about resources available to them.

When asked which ITV format was most suitable for their classroom use (see Table 17), approximately one-fourth (27%) of the Special Education teachers reported that broadcast represented the most suitable format while another 26% selected videotape reel to reel (7%) or videocassette (19%). However, teachers most frequently responded (29%) that they were not sure what format was most suitable for their use. Videodisc was selected as most suitable by only two teachers.

Table 18. Frequency Of Use by Subject Area

Teachers Responses	Number of Teachers (N=318)	Percentage of Teachers
Art/Music	5 <u>0</u> *	16%
Career/Vocational Ed.	63	20
Foreign Language Language Arts/	63 /4	() 1
Reading	187	
Home Economics/	:	
Industrial Ed.	$\bar{1}\bar{1}$	$\bar{3}$
Math	5 - 6	18
Physical Education/	•	
Health	36	11
Science	103	32
Social Sciences	124	39

Table 19: Perceptions of Need for ITV Programming by Subject Area

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=779)	Percentage of Teachers
Art/Music	=====================================	======================================
Career/Vocational Ed.	385	49
Foreign Language Language Arts/	45	6
Reading Home Economics/	448	58
Industrial Ed.	185	24
Math	356	46
Physical Education/	· •	
Health	151 `	19
Science	332 >	43
Social Sciences	362	46
None Needed	107	14 '

Teachers who use ITV were asked to indicate the subject areas in which they regularly use ITV. Table 18 shows that the three subject areas in which teachers most frequently use ITV are Language Arts and Reading (59%), Social Sciences (39%), and Science (32%). On the whole, teachers used ITV least frequently for Physical Education and Health (11%), Home Economics and Industrial Education (3%), and Foreign Language (1%).

Teachers were asked to indicate those subject areas in which ITV programming was needed. These findings are reported in Table 19. Teachers indicated that programming was needed in Language Arts and Reading (58%), Career and Vocational Education (49%), Social Sciences (46%), and Science (43%). Only 6% thought that ITV programming was needed in Foreign Language.

A comparison between the frequency of use of ITV against their perceptions of the need for programming reveals large discrepancies. For most subject areas, findings on the need for programming, exceeds the actual use. This suggests that one barrier to ITV use among Special Education teachers is the lack of appropriate programming for their students. The largest gaps between frequency of use and perceptions of need were in the Career/Vocational Education, Math, and Home Economics/Industrial Education. These subject areas represented areas in which specialized instructional materials are needed for Special Education teachers. While there are some series available on these topics (Freestyle, for example), Special Education teachers did not list them as series they use. Perhaps they are unaware of them.

Finally, Special Education teachers were asked to list factors which would help them to use ITV programs with Special Education students. These responses were most frequently related to increased availability of equipment. Most teachers expressed a need for advance information about ITV generally; information regarding scheduling for planning purposes; and advance availability of teachers' guides with program summaries and follow-up_activities. Factors offered less frequently included program content, program format, pacing, and time-limit considerations. Characteristic responses are listed below.

- "We could use them if we had video equipment to tape and replay, otherwise, scheduling would be impossible."
- "Workshop or information on how to use the equipment."
- "Scheduling is important since equipment is hard to get."
- "Programs should be scheduled more between 8:30 and 10:30 than between 11:30 and 2:30."
- "Scheduled programming which informs teacher of broadcast and content to be covered ahead of showing date."
- "Program guides should provide review discussion questions and extended activities to use after viewing."
- "Program content should be high interest, low vocabulary."
- "Would like to see programs developed for younger students (3-5), using readiness skills. Shows should be highly motivated and repetitious. Should evoke responses and participation by students."
- "Articulation and language skills-- not only grammar."
- "At the high school level, career and vocational education is greatly needed and, in our school system, greatly lacking."

- "More affective education -- role-play, modeling situations."
- "For Special Education students, programs with a format like Readalong are better because of 15 minute programs three days per week--students are not overloaded."
- "Should have a slow paced format which repeats several times and supplies the correct answers, worksheets, to go along with the show."
- "Pacing and vocabulary are the most difficult for my students."
- "Students have a short attention span (15 minutes)."
- "Captioning for the hearing-impaired."

PART IV: AVAILABILITY OF SUPPORT ITV SERVICES

This section of the report discusses findings regarding the availability and adequacy of training in the use of ITV, and availability of teachers guides and print material which accompany ITV series.





Table 20. Source of ITV Training

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=884)	Percentage of Teachers	
Local ITY Agency Special Education	40	5%	
Dept. in School University or College School Media	1 <u>6</u> 39	2 4	
Specialist Other No Training	270 86 433	31 10 49	

Table 21. Perceptions of Adequacy of ITV Training

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=513)	Percentage of Teachers
Very Adequate Somewhat Adequate Not Sure Somewhat Inadequate	62 157 89 91	12% 31 17 18
Very Inadequate	114	22

Table 22. Availability of Teachers Guides And Print Materials

Teachers' Responses	Number of Teachers (N=780)	Percentage of Teac	 hers ; ; ;
Always	137	18%	
Often	101	13	
Sometimes	143	18	
Rarely	105	13	
Never	294	33	

Special Education teachers were questioned about the availability of support ITV services. Almost half of all teachers (49%) reported that no training was available to them (see Table 20). For those who received training the School Media Specialist was cited most frequently as providing this training. Teachers who received training in the use of instructional television were asked how adequate the training was (see Table 21). Only 40% of the teachers reported that training available to them was either somewhat or very inadequate.

Special Education teachers were also asked how often teachers' guides and other print material, which accompany ITV series, were available to them for instructional planning (see Table 22). Approximately one-third (31%) of Special Education teachers reported that teachers' guides and print material, were always or often available for instructional planning. However, half said that this supplementary material was rarely or never available to them.





PART V: UTILIZATION OF MICROCOMPUTERS

This final section of the report focuses on findings regarding availability and utilization of microcomputers in Special Education, teaching situations. Perceptions of the value of microcomputers and of the need for training are also discussed.



Table 23. Availability of Microcomputers

Teachers Responses	Number of Teachers (N=951)	Percentage of Teachers		
Yes	292	31%		
No	552	58		
Not Sure	107	11		

Table 24. Frequency of Use of Microcomputers

		<u></u>	·
Teachers R	esponses 	Number of Teachers (N=931)	Percentage of Teachers
Yes No Not Sure		. 87 825 . 19	9% 89 2

In addition to determining the availability and frequency of use of ITV, Special Education teachers were also questioned about the availability and utilization of microcomputers. The purpose of asking these questions was to provide a comparison between the uses of the technologies in the classroom.

When teachers were asked if they had microcomputers in their schools (see Table 23), approximately one-third (31%) of teachers reported that microcomputers were available. More than half of Special Education teachers (58%) said that their schools did not have microcomputers, while only 11% were not sure.

Teachers were also asked if they used microcomputers in their classrooms (see Table 24). Only 9% of Special Education teachers reported that they used this technology in their classrooms, while nine out of ten of the teachers did not.

A comparison between the findings on availability and utilization of microcomputers against those on ITV shows that Special Education teachers use microcomputers much less frequently than they use ITV. In previous sections of this report it was shown that about half (46%) of Special Education teachers had ITV available to them (see page 14). A majority of teachers had television sets and video equipment (77% and 75% respectively) as compared with one-third (31%) of Special Education teachers who had microcomputers available to them. With regard to use, the data indicate



Table 25. Perceptions of Microcomputer as a Valuable Teaching Tool

Teachers Responses	Number of Teachers (N=927)	Percentage of Teachers
Yes	497	54%
No	35	4
Not Sure	395	4

Table 26. Perceptions of Need for Training in Use of Microcomputers

Teachers' Responses	Numb	er of Teachers (N=914)	Percentag	ge of Teache	ers
Yes No Not Sure	**************************************	484 93 337		53% 10 37	

that ITV is available and used more frequently than microcomputers. This finding is not surprising since microcomputers represent a newer technology than instructional television.

Teachers were also asked whether they thought that microcomputers constituted a valuable teaching tool. About half of the teachers (54%) reported that this technology was valuable as a teaching tool (see Table 25).

A comparison between the findings on this item against the corresponding data on ITV (see page 20), shows that a somewhat greater percentage of teachers (63%) perceive of ITV as a valuable teaching tool than consider microcomputers valuable in teaching situations (54%). Again, this is probably due to the relative novelty of microcomputers in the schools.

Special Education teachers were also asked if they thought that training in the use of microcomputers was needed in their schools. To this question more than half of teachers (53%) responded affirmatively, 37% were not sure, and only 10% said that training was not needed (see Table 26).

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The study of ITV use among Special Education teachers began in September of 1981. A 29-item instrument was developed which included questions on the of instructional television (ITV): the use of ITV: availability of support ITV services; and the use of microcomputers to provide a comparison between the two technologies. A total of 1203 surveys were returned to Research Communications; of these, 991 were completed by Special Education teachers. All survey data were processed on an Apple II Plus Computer at Research Communications, Inc. A special RCI software package was used to generate frequencies and percentages for this report. responses were obtained for each item on the survey. Open-ended questions were tabulated and recorded separately by evaluation staff. Appendix for a copy of the questionnaire.

Background information on Special Education teachers concerning the types and numbers of students taught, structure of classes, subjects taught, and number of years teaching experience was obtained. Almost three-fourths of the teachers taught learning-disabled students. A majority of teachers (66%) reported that they taught in a classroom comprised of Special Education students only, while more than one third (38%) taught individual or small group sessions outside the students' regular class. Subjects most frequently taught by Special Education teachers included Language Arts/Reading (59%); Math(57%); Social Studies (31%); and Science (25%) while Art/Music, and Physical Education were infrequently taught. Half of the sample

reported teaching Special Education from four to ten years and about one-quarter taught for 10 or more years. This suggests that our sample consisted of teachers with a substantial amount of Special Education experience.

Direct broadcast reception constitutes the most available format for viewing ITV with nearly half of Special Education teachers reporting that ITV was available to them through broadcast. Approximately one-fourth of teachers also perceived direct broadcast as the most suitable format for viewing ITV in their classrooms. Videotape and videocassette formats were reported to be available less frequently to Special Education teachers (14% and 17% respectively) than to teachers in the general population who were surveyed in a study devoted specifically to small format videotape use (Crane, 1979). In that study, 54% of the teachers used videotape reel to reel and another used videocassette.

About one-fourth of Special Eduation teachers had no ITV formats available to them and 35% of respondents were not sure what formats were available to them. Similarly, when asked what ITV format was most suitable for their classroom use, teachers most frequently responded (29%) that they were not sure what format was most suitable for their use. This suggests that Special Education teachers need to be informed about instructional television resources available to them.





Three-fourths of Special Education teachers reported that video equipment was available to them when they needed it at least some of the time. Approximately three-fourths of Special Education teachers had access to either black and white or color television sets or both. A comparison against figures reported in the Dirr & Pedone (1977), and Crane (1979) studies shows that Special Education teachers are much less likely than regular classroom teachers (77% vs 92%) to have television sets available. Of those who view ITV (37% of the total sample), Special Education teachers most frequently move equipment into their rooms (48%).

With regard to use of ITV, 36% of Special Education teachers reported that they use ITV at least once every few months. Equipment availability was reported as a major barrier to ITV use among Special Education teachers. 'Other' reasons offered for not using ITV were that broadcast schedules were inconvenient; teachers were unfamiliar with ITV generally; and that programs were not applicable to curricula or inappropriate to class structure.

Special Education teachers' attitudes toward ITV were also examined. Almost two-thirds of teachers said that they thought that ITV was a valuable teaching tool. However, more than one-third of Special Education teachers (compared with 16% of regular teachers in the CPB study) were not sure of the value of ITV as a teaching tool. This again indicates a need to inform Special Education teachers about resources available to them.



The three subject areas in which teachers most frequently used ITV were Language Arts and Reading (59%), Social Sciences (39%), and Science (32%). Teachers indicated that programming was needed in Language Arts and Reading (58%), Career and Vocational Education (49%), Social Sciences (46%), and Science (43%). On the whole, the findings show that the need for programming exceeds the actual use. This suggests that one barrier to ITV use among Special Education teachers is the lack of appropriate programming for their students. The largest gaps between frequency of use and perception of need were in the areas of Career/Vocational Education, Math, and Home Economics/Industrial Education.

Half of all Special Education teachers surveyed reported that no training was available to them. Of those teachers who received training in the use of ITV, this training was most frequently provided by the School Media Specialist. Only 40% of the teachers reported that training available to them was either somewhat or very inadequate.

In addition to determining the availability and utilization of ITV, Special Education teachers were also questioned about the use of microcomputers to provide a point of comparison between the two technologies. This study found that ITV is available more frequently and used more frequently than microcomputers in the schools. Finally, somewhat more Special Education teachers perceive of ITV as a valuable teaching tool than consider microcomputers of value in teaching situations. This finding is not surprising since microcomputers constitute a newer technology than ITV.



In summary, the following conclusions can be drawn from this survey on the utilization of ITV among Special Education teachers.

- 1. Special Education teachers have access to video equipment and television sets less frequently than regular classroom teachers.
- 2. ITV is used by Special Education teachers less frequently than by regular classroom teachers because of lack of equipment; lack of knowledge about the availability of ITV; and scheduling constraints.
- 3. Special Education teachers consider ITV valuable as a teaching tool and perceive a need for an increased amount of programming which is appropriate to the needs of Special Education students.
- 4. When ITV is compared to microcomputers for school use, ITV is available and used more frequently and more likely to be viewed as a valuable teaching tool.

APPENDIX

TEACHER SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE



SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE

DIRECTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE QUESTIONNAIRE:

Please check the appropriate box or space provided for each item. Fill in additional responses where appropriate.

	SchoolCity/State
	By INSTRUCTIONAL TELEVISION (ITV) we mean to include in-school uses of television programs that are BROADCAST from a TV station, TV agency, or cable company, directly to a TV set in the classroom. These programs are also available in other formats such as videotape, videocassette, and 16mm film.
	BACKGROUND INFORMATION "
	1. Do you teach Special Education?
	(IF NO, PLEASE STOP HERE AND RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE IN THE ENCLOSED SELF-ADDRESSED ENVELOPE.)
	2. How many years have you been teaching Special Education?
	\bigcirc less than 1 \bigcirc 1-3 \bigcirc 4-10 \bigcirc 10 or more
	3. What grades do you teach? (Check all that apply)
	○ pre K-2 ○ 3-5 ○ 6-8 ○ 9-12 ·
	4. What subjects do you teach? (Check all that apply)
•	5. Do you teach Special Education students: (Check all that apply)
-	in a classroom comprised of Special Education students only? in a mainstreamed class? in individual or small group sessions outside their regular class? other (specify)?
	6. What types of Special Education students do you teach? (Check all that apply)
	 ☐ Learning Disabled ☐ Physically Disabled ☐ Sight Impaired Other (specify) Sight Impaired
	7. What is the total number of Special Education students you teach in a





-Ā- Ś-Z-Ā	HI ADU ITO DE MEZZOZZO			7 4 7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ALABILITY OF INSTRUCTIONAL TELEV			-	
1. /	Are ITV programs available to you and yo	our classes throu	gh broadca	st? YES	
			•		SURE
2. A	tre ITV programs available to you in the	se formats? (Che	ck all that i	apply)	
	O Videotape (reel to reel) O Videocassette (†" or 3/4") O Videodişc	Olemm film ONot sure ONot available	: e		
3. Ī	s video equipment available for your use	when you need it	t?	Always	
			, E	Usually Sometimes Rarely Never	
4. H	ow do you usually view ITV? (Check on	e response).		<i>y</i>	
	△Don't use ITV △On equipment permanently placed in △On equipment moved into my classro △A separate viewing room where I tak △Other (specify)	my room	ŧ		
((hat kinds of TV sets are made available to theck all that apply) OF INSTRUCTIONAL TELEVISION (ITV)	j .	your use?	☐ Black and ☐ Color ☐ None avail ☐ Not sure	
	ow frequently do you use ITV in the clas		*		
	O Daily O Once a week Few times a month Once every few month Never [Go to 1a.]				
1a	If you do NOT use ITV, please check of	all reason's that a	 pply to you	: :	i .
	No equipment available Programs and series are not wo Not sure how to use equipment Equipment is of poor quality Too much trouble Broadcast schedule inconvenien Programs I, want are not availab Other (specify)	rthwhile t			
. Но 509	w many different ITV series do you use i of all the lessons in the series? (Check	regularly (i.ē. āp : onē)	proximatel	ÿ	·, 🐱
	$ \begin{array}{c c} $	△ 3 △ 4 △ 5 or more	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
ER IC	~	55			

į V	AVAILABILITY OF SUPPORT TIV SERVICES
	1. In your school system, who provides you with training in the use of instructional television? (Check all that apply)
	Local ITV agency Special Education department in your school system University or college School Media Specialist Other (specify) No training available
	2. How adequate is the training you have had in the use of instructional television?
	 ✓ Very adequate ✓ Somewhat adequate ✓ Not sure ✓ Somewhat inadequate ✓ Very inadequate ✓ No training available
•	3. How often are teacher's guides and other print materials which accompany ITV series available to you for instructional planning?
	Always Often Sometimes Rarely Never
٧.,	UTILIZATION OF MICROCOMPUTERS
1.	Do you have microcomputers in your school? O YES O NO O NOT SURE
Ž.	Do you use microcomputers in your classroom? O YES O NO ONOT SURE
3.	Do you think microcomputers are a valuable YES NO NOT SURE
4.	Do you think training in the use of microcomputers is needed in your school? $\bar{\Delta}$ YES $\bar{\Delta}$ NO $\bar{\Delta}$ NOT SURE
5 .	What kind of computer programs should be developed to meet the needs of your students?



	•		TV	Film	
• :				:	r
<u></u>	(A)				
How con	venient are the bro	padcast times o	of the series	you use?	
5	Always convenie		i	;	
5	Usually convenience			•	
>	Sometimes conve	enient		Ì	
}	Rarely convenie	nt O			
	Don't use series	during broad	lcast times)	
Which on		•		<u>.</u> 1	•
(Check o	e of the following finly one)	ormats is <u>mos</u>	<u>t</u> suitable for	your classroom us	se?
□ Br	oadcast	: <u> </u>	doocacette		
	m (16mm)		ideocassette ideodisc		
	deotape (reel to ree		ot sure		
 Do you ti	nink ITV is a valua	hle teaching t	ooli Ā	Dadiwiasia ass	
, , , , , , ,	The state of the s	ible teaching t		Definitely yes Yes	
	4	•	Ā	Not sure	
			Δ	No_	
		•	Δ	Definitely no	
			•		
In what s	ubject areas do yo	u regularly us	se ITV? (Che	ck all that apply)	
	•	u regularly us	se ITV? (Che	ck all that apply)	
Q Ar	t/Music		◯ Ma	ith	
A A	t/Music reer/Vocational Ed		Ma Pr	ith iysical Ed/Health E	Ed
O Ar	t/Music reer/Vocational Ed reign Language	· .	Ma Pr So	ith iysical Ed/Health E ience	Ēd
Ar Ca Fo La	t/Music Treer/Vocational Ed reign Language nguage Arts/Readi	ng	Ma Pr Sc Sc	ith nysical Ed/Health E lience icial Sciences	≣d
Ar Ca Fo La Ho	t/Music Treer/Vocational Ed Treign Language Inguage Arts/Readi Time Economics/Indu	ng strial Ed	Ma Pr So So No	ith nysical Ed/Health E lience icial Sciences one	;
Ar Ca Fo La Ho	t/Music Treer/Vocational Ed reign Language nguage Arts/Readi	ng strial Ed	Ma Pr So So No	ith nysical Ed/Health E lience icial Sciences one	;
Ar Ca Fo La Ho In what s	t/Music reer/Vocational Ed reign Language nguage Arts/Readi me Economics/Indu ubject areas are IT I that apply)	ng strial Ed	Ma Pr So So No Reeded for Spe	ith nysical Ed/Health E lience cial Sciences one ecial Education stu	;
Ar Ca Fo La Ho In what s (Check al	t/Music reer/Vocational Ed reign Language nguage Arts/Readi me Economics/Indu ubject areas are IT I that apply)	ng istrial Ed V programs n	eeded for Spe	ith nysical Ed/Health E ience icial Sciences one ecial Education stu	dents?
Ar Ca Fo La Ho In what s (Check al	t/Music reer/Vocational Ed reign Language nguage Arts/Readi me Economics/Indu ubject areas are IT I that apply) t/Music reer/Vocational Ed	ng istrial Ed V programs n	eeded for Spe	ith ysical Ed/Health Ed/Health Edience cial Sciences cial Education stu th ysical Ed/Health E	dents?
In what so Ca Ca Foo La	t/Music reer/Vocational Ed reign Language nguage Arts/Readi me Economics/Indu ubject areas are IT l that apply) t/Music reer/Vocational Ed reign Language nguage Arts/Readi	ng istrial Ed V programs n	eeded for Spe Ma No Ph Ma Ph Sc	ith ysical Ed/Health Ed/Health Edience cial Sciences cial Education stu th ysical Ed/Health Ed/Health Edience	dents?
In what so Ca Ca Foo La	t/Music reer/Vocational Ed reign Language nguage Arts/Readi me Economics/Indu ubject areas are IT I that apply) t/Music reer/Vocational Ed	ng istrial Ed V programs n	eeded for Spe Ma No Ph Ma Ph Sc	ith iysical Ed/Health Edience cial Sciences cial Education stu th ysical Ed/Health Edience cial Sciences	dents?
Ar Ca Ar Ca Ar Ca Followhat Brown Hotel Can Br	t/Music reer/Vocational Ed reign Language nguage Arts/Readi me Economics/Indu ubject areas are IT l that apply) t/Music reer/Vocational Ed reign Language nguage Arts/Readi me Economics/Indu below any factors students. (Conside	ng Istrial Ed V programs n mg strial Ed which would l	eeded for Special Photos Score No.	ith iysical Ed/Health Edience icial Sciences cial Education stu th ysical Ed/Health Edience cial Sciences ne	dents?
Ar Ca Ar Ca Ar Ca Followhat Brown Hotel Can Br	t/Music reer/Vocational Ed reign Language nguage Arts/Readi me Economics/Indu ubject areas are IT l that apply) t/Music reer/Vocational Ed reign Language nguage Arts/Readi me Economics/Indu below any factors	ng Istrial Ed V programs n mg strial Ed which would l	eeded for Special Photos Score No.	ith iysical Ed/Health Edience icial Sciences cial Education stu th ysical Ed/Health Edience cial Sciences ne	dents?





